	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/657,695	KAWASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dismery E. Mercedes	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	orrespondence address plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>after final amend. filed 10/02/2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-9,11-21,23-42 (renum 1-39)</u> .		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all blue contains a claim for foreign priority unally all blue contains a claim for foreign priority unall blue claim for foreign priority unall blue claim for foreign priority documents have contains a claim for foreign priority documents have claim for foreign priority documents. 	been received	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF		
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	5 🗆 N " (1) (1)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P6. ☐ Interview Summary	• •
	Paper No./Mail Dat	e
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 	7. Examiner's Amenda	nent/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		ent of Reasons for Allowance
	9. Other SUPERVISO	AYNEYOUNG RY PATENT EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claim 2-5,6-9,11-21, 23-42 (renumbered 1-39) are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent Claim 2 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether a transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when an outcome of said judgment indicates said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said forward direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that a recording current supplied to said recording head is set at a value greater than a value of a recording current supplied to said recording head while said magnetic tape is traveling in said reverse direction.

Independent Claim 6 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether a transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said reverse direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that a recording current supplied to said recording head is set at a value smaller than a value of a recording current supplied to said recording head while said magnetic tape is traveling in said forward direction.

Independent Claim 11 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operation of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium

transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signalprocessing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein said control unit switches signal processing carried
out on a phase characteristic in said signal processing unit from a first kind of processing to a second kind of processing
in dependence of an outcome of said judgment.

Independent Claim 23 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when a magnetic head causing a small thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said forward direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that said signal to be recorded is subjected to an encoding process adopting a method known as partial response class 4.

Independent Claim 27 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when a magnetic head causing a large thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said forward direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that a line recording density is increased to a value greater than a value of a line recording density for said reverse direction.

Independent Claim 29 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-

processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when a magnetic head causing a large thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said reverse direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that a line recording density is decreased to a value smaller than a value of a line recording density for said forward direction.

Independent Claim 31 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations or said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when a magnetic head causing a small thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said forward direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so that said reproduced signal output by said reproducing head is subjected to signal processing carried out on a frequency characteristic by adoption of a method known as partial response class 4.

Independent Claim 37 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal-processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, wherein when a magnetic head causing a large thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said forward direction, said control unit controls said signal-processing unit so

that said reproduced signal output by said reproducing head is subjected to signal processing carried out on a frequency characteristic.

Independent Claim 40 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken alone or in combination do not teach or suggest: a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said 5 ransfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium 5 ransferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or 3 aid reverse direction, and for controlling said signal processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment, ~herein when a magnetic head causing a large thermal asperity noise is employed as said recording head and an outcome of said judgment indicates that said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium is said reverse direction, said control Init controls said signal-processing unit so that said reproduced signal output by said reproducing head is subjected to signal processing carried out on a frequency characteristic.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Yamada et al. (US 5,912,780); Nishima et al. (US 6,236,800); Shi et al. (US 5,550,684); Nishima et al. (US 6,236,800); Gooch (US 5,189,572); Kashida et al. (US 4,766,507); Aoki et al. (US 6,122,129); Fukuoka et al. (US 5,844,737); Yoshida et al. (US 5,963,388); Molstad et al. (US 7,046,466); Takada et al. (US 5,481,514); Hathaway et al. (US 4,916,555); Honjo et al. (US 6,424,483).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dismery E. Mercedes whose telephone number is 571-272-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, from 9:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA

DAT .